

Date: 20 March 2017

FIFTH STEERING COMMITTEE MEETING EVALUATION LIST

The general organisation of the meeting

Grading	Poor	OK	Good	Very Good	Excel lent
Logistic preparation and organization of meeting	1	2	3	4	(5)
Content of the Agenda	1	2	3	4	(5)
Arrangements of the meeting (venue, equipment, etc.)	1	2	3	4	(5)

Comment:

General working communication

Grading	Poor	OK	Good	Very Good	Excel lent
Communication before the meeting	1	2	3	4	5
Duration and timetable of the meeting	1	2	3	4	(5)
Quality of materials provided during the meeting	1	2	3	4	(5)
Quality of presentations	1	2	3	4	(5)
Communication between the coordinator of the project and the other partners	1	2	3	4	5
Engagement of the participants in the activities and discussions	1	2	3	4	5
Objectives in the agenda regarding the NatRisk project are reached	1	2	3	4	5





Grading	Poor	OK	Good	Very Good	Excel lent
Mode of reaching the decisions at the meeting	1	2	3	4	(5)
Opportunities to express your opinion and influence decisions	1	2	3	4	(5)
Achievement of the meeting and project goals	1	2	3	4	5
Discussion of tasks for the upcoming activities and meetings	1	2	3	4	(5)
Assignment of follow-up tasks	1	2	3	4	(5)

Comment:

Thank you for taking the time to complete this questionnaire. Your comments are important to us and provide us with valuable feedback on the quality of the meeting, which will be taken into account when organising future events.

Project number: 573806-EPP-1-2016-1-RS-EPPKA2-CBHE-JP





Date: 20 March 2017

FIFTH STEERING COMMITTEE MEETING EVALUATION LIST

The general organisation of the meeting


Grading	Poor	OK	Good	Very Good	Excel lent
Logistic preparation and organization of meeting	1	2	3	4	(5)
Content of the Agenda	1	2	3	4	(5)
Arrangements of the meeting (venue, equipment, etc.)	1	2	3	4	(5)

Comment:

General working communication

Grading	Poor	OK	Good	Very	Excel
				Good	lent
Communication before the meeting	1	2	3	4	(3)
Duration and timetable of the meeting	1	2	3	4	5
Quality of materials provided during the meeting	1	2	3	4	(5)
Quality of presentations	1	2	3	4	(5)
Communication between the coordinator of the project and the other partners	1	2	3	4	(5)
Engagement of the participants in the activities and discussions	1	2	3	4	(5)
Objectives in the agenda regarding the NatRisk project are reached	1	2	3	4	(5)





Grading	Poor	OK	Good	Very Good	Excel lent
Mode of reaching the decisions at the meeting	1	2	3	4	(5)
Opportunities to express your opinion and influence decisions	1	2	3	4	ð
Achievement of the meeting and project goals	1	2	3	4	(5)
Discussion of tasks for the upcoming activities and meetings	1	2	3	4	(5)
Assignment of follow-up tasks	1	2	3	4	(3)

Comment:

Thank you for taking the time to complete this questionnaire. Your comments are important to us and provide us with valuable feedback on the quality of the meeting, which will be taken into account when organising future events.

Project number: 573806-EPP-1-2016-1-RS-EPPKA2-CBHE-JP





Date: 20 March 2017

FIFTH STEERING COMMITTEE MEETING EVALUATION LIST

The general organisation of the meeting

Grading	Poor	OK	Good	Very Good	Excel lent
Logistic preparation and organization of meeting	1	2	3	4	(5
Content of the Agenda	1	2	3	4	5
Arrangements of the meeting (venue, equipment, etc.)	1	2	3	4	5

Comment:

General working communication

Grading	Poor	OK	Good	Very	Excel
。				Good	lent
Communication before the meeting	1	2	3	$\begin{pmatrix} 4 \end{pmatrix}$	5
Duration and timetable of the meeting	1	2	3	(4)	5
Quality of materials provided during the meeting	1	2	3	4	5
Quality of presentations	1	2	3	4	(5)
Communication between the coordinator of the project and the other partners	1	2	3	4	5)
Engagement of the participants in the activities and discussions	1	2	3	4	5
Objectives in the agenda regarding the NatRisk project are reached	1	2	3	4	5






Grading	Poor	OK	Good	Very Good	Excel lent
Mode of reaching the decisions at the meeting	1	2	3	4	5
Opportunities to express your opinion and influence decisions	1	2	3	4	5
Achievement of the meeting and project goals	1	2	3	4	5
Discussion of tasks for the upcoming activities and meetings	1	2 -45 -45 -45 -45 -45 -45 -45 -45 -45 -45	3	4/	5
Assignment of follow-up tasks	1	2	3	4	5

Comment:

Thank you for taking the time to complete this questionnaire. Your comments are important to us and provide us with valuable feedback on the quality of the meeting, which will be taken into account when organising future events.

Project number: 573806-EPP-1-2016-1-RS-EPPKA2-CBHE-JP





Date: 20 March 2017

FIFTH STEERING COMMITTEE MEETING EVALUATION LIST

The general organisation of the meeting

Grading	Poor	OK	Good	Very Good	Excel lent
Logistic preparation and organization of meeting	1	2	3	4	5
Content of the Agenda	1	2	3	4	(5)
Arrangements of the meeting (venue, equipment, etc.)	1	2	3	4	(5)

Comment:

No Linker Connent


General working communication

8					
Grading	Poor	OK	Good	Very	Excel
	15000			Good	lent
Communication before the meeting	1	2	3	4	5
Duration and timetable of the meeting	1	2	3	4	(5)
Quality of materials provided during the meeting	1	2	3	4	5
Quality of presentations	1	2	3	4	(5)
Communication between the coordinator of the project and the other partners	1	2	3	4	5
Engagement of the participants in the activities and discussions	1	2	3	4)	5
Objectives in the agenda regarding the NatRisk project are reached	1	2	3	4	5

Comment:

No Jurtler comment





Grading	Poor	OK	Good	Very Good	Excel lent
Mode of reaching the decisions at the meeting	1	2	3	4	5
Opportunities to express your opinion and influence decisions	1	2	3	4	(5)
Achievement of the meeting and project goals	1	2	3	4	(5)
Discussion of tasks for the upcoming activities and meetings	1	2	3	4	(5)
Assignment of follow-up tasks	1	2	3	4	(5)


Comment:

No Surfler comments

Thank you for taking the time to complete this questionnaire. Your comments are important to us and provide us with valuable feedback on the quality of the meeting, which will be taken into account when organising future events.

Project number: 573806-EPP-1-2016-1-RS-EPPKA2-CBHE-JP





Date: 20 March 2017

FIFTH STEERING COMMITTEE MEETING EVALUATION LIST

The general organisation of the meeting


Grading	Poor	OK	Good	Very Good	Excel lent
Logistic preparation and organization of meeting	1	2	3	4	5
Content of the Agenda	1	2	3	(4)	5
Arrangements of the meeting (venue, equipment, etc.)	1	2	3	$\left(4\right)$	5

Comment:

General working communication

Grading	Poor	OK	Good	Very	Excel lent
Communication before the meeting	1	2	3	(4)	5
Duration and timetable of the meeting	1	2	(3)	4	5
Quality of materials provided during the meeting	1	2	3	4	5
Quality of presentations	1	2	3	(4)	5
Communication between the coordinator of the project and the other partners	1	2	3	4	(5)
Engagement of the participants in the activities and discussions	1	2	(3)	4	5
Objectives in the agenda regarding the NatRisk project are reached	1	2	3	4	5






Grading	Poor	OK	Good	Very Good	Excel lent
Mode of reaching the decisions at the meeting	1	2	(3)	4	5
Opportunities to express your opinion and influence decisions	1	2	(3)	4	5
Achievement of the meeting and project goals	1	2	3	(4)	5
Discussion of tasks for the upcoming activities and meetings	1	2	3	4	5
Assignment of follow-up tasks	1	2	3	4	5

Comment:

Thank you for taking the time to complete this questionnaire. Your comments are important to us and provide us with valuable feedback on the quality of the meeting, which will be taken into account when organising future events.

Project number: 573806-EPP-1-2016-1-RS-EPPKA2-CBHE-JP





Date: 20 March 2017

FIFTH STEERING COMMITTEE MEETING EVALUATION LIST

The general organisation of the meeting


Grading	Poor	OK	Good	Very Good	Excel
Logistic preparation and organization of meeting	1	2	3	4	(5)
Content of the Agenda	1	2	3	4	(5)
Arrangements of the meeting (venue, equipment, etc.)	1	2	3	4	(5)

Comment:

General working communication

Grading	Poor	OK	Good	Very	Excel
				Good	lent
Communication before the meeting	1	2	3	4	(5)
Duration and timetable of the meeting	1	2	3	4	(5)
Quality of materials provided during the meeting	1	2	3	4	(5)
Quality of presentations	1	2	3	4	(5)
Communication between the coordinator of the project and the other partners	1	2	3	4	(5)
Engagement of the participants in the activities and discussions	1	2	3	4	(5)
Objectives in the agenda regarding the NatRisk project are reached	1	2	3	4	(5)






Grading	Poor	OK	Good	Very Good	Excel lent
Mode of reaching the decisions at the meeting	1	2	3	4	(5)
Opportunities to express your opinion and influence decisions	1	2	3	4	(5)
Achievement of the meeting and project goals	1	2	3	4	(5)
Discussion of tasks for the upcoming activities and meetings	1	2	3	4	(5)
Assignment of follow-up tasks	1	2	3	4	(5)

Comment:

Thank you for taking the time to complete this questionnaire. Your comments are important to us and provide us with valuable feedback on the quality of the meeting, which will be taken into account when organising future events.

Project number: 573806-EPP-1-2016-1-RS-EPPKA2-CBHE-JP





Date: 20 March 2017

FIFTH STEERING COMMITTEE MEETING EVALUATION LIST

The general organisation of the meeting


Grading	Poor	OK	Good	Very	Excel lent
Logistic preparation and organization of meeting	1	2	3	(<u>4</u>)	5
Content of the Agenda	1	2	3	(4)	5
Arrangements of the meeting (venue, equipment, etc.)	1	2	(3)	4	5

Comment:

General working communication

Grading	Poor	OK	Good	Very Good	Excel lent
Communication before the meeting	1	2	3	4	夏
Duration and timetable of the meeting	1	2	3	4	(5)
Quality of materials provided during the meeting	1	2	3	4	(5)
Quality of presentations	1	2	3	4	(5)
Communication between the coordinator of the project and the other partners	1	2	3	4	5
Engagement of the participants in the activities and discussions	1	2	3	4	(5)
Objectives in the agenda regarding the NatRisk project are reached	1	2	3	4	(\$)





Grading	Poor	OK	Good	Very Good	Excel
Mode of reaching the decisions at the meeting	1	2	3	4	(5)
Opportunities to express your opinion and influence decisions	1	2	3	4	3/
Achievement of the meeting and project goals	1	2	3	4	(3)
Discussion of tasks for the upcoming activities and meetings	1	2 2	3	4	5
Assignment of follow-up tasks	1	2	3	4	5

Comment:

Thank you for taking the time to complete this questionnaire. Your comments are important to us and provide us with valuable feedback on the quality of the meeting, which will be taken into account when organising future events.

Project number: 573806-EPP-1-2016-1-RS-EPPKA2-CBHE-JP





Date: 20 March 2017

FIFTH STEERING COMMITTEE MEETING EVALUATION LIST

The general organisation of the meeting

Grading	Poor	OK	Good	Very Good	Excel lent
Logistic preparation and organization of meeting	1	2	3	4	5
Content of the Agenda	1	2	3	4	5
Arrangements of the meeting (venue, equipment, etc.)	1	2	3	4	5

Comment:

General working communication

0					
Grading	Poor	OK	Good	Very	Excel
				Good	lent
Communication before the meeting	1	2	3	4	(5)
Duration and timetable of the meeting	1	2	3	4	5
Quality of materials provided during the meeting	1	2	3	4	5
Quality of presentations	1	2	3	4	(5)
Communication between the coordinator of the project and the other partners	1	2	3	4	5
Engagement of the participants in the activities and discussions	1	2	3	4	5
Objectives in the agenda regarding the NatRisk project are reached	1	2	3	4	5






Grading	Poor	OK	Good	Very Good	Excel lent
Mode of reaching the decisions at the meeting	1	2	3	4	5
Opportunities to express your opinion and influence decisions	1	2	3	4	5
Achievement of the meeting and project goals	1	2	3	4	5
Discussion of tasks for the upcoming activities and meetings	1 · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	2	3	4)	5
Assignment of follow-up tasks	1	2	3	4	5

Comment:

Thank you for taking the time to complete this questionnaire. Your comments are important to us and provide us with valuable feedback on the quality of the meeting, which will be taken into account when organising future events.

Project number: 573806-EPP-1-2016-1-RS-EPPKA2-CBHE-JP





Date: 20 March 2017

FIFTH STEERING COMMITTEE MEETING EVALUATION LIST

The general organisation of the meeting


Grading	Poor	OK	Good	Very	Excel
				Good	lent
Logistic preparation and organization of meeting	1	2	3	4	(5)
Content of the Agenda	1	2	3	4	<u>(5</u>
Arrangements of the meeting (venue, equipment, etc.)	1	2	3	4	(5)

Comment:

General working communication

9					
Grading	Poor	OK	Good	Very	Excel
4.70 图15-14 15 15 16 16 16 16 16 16 16 16 16 16 16 16 16				Good	lent
Communication before the meeting	1	2	3	(4)	5
Duration and timetable of the meeting	1	2	3	4	(⁵)
Quality of materials provided during the meeting	1	2	3	4	(5)
Quality of presentations	1	2	3	4	5)
Communication between the coordinator of the project and the other partners	1	2	3	4	(5)
Engagement of the participants in the activities and discussions	1	2	3	4	(5)
Objectives in the agenda regarding the NatRisk project are reached	1	2	3	4	(5)





Grading	Poor	OK	Good	Very Good	Excel
Mode of reaching the decisions at the meeting	1	2	3	4	(5)
Opportunities to express your opinion and influence decisions	1	2	3 1 2 2 3 3 3 3 3 3 3 3 3 3 3 3 3 3 3 3	4	(5)
Achievement of the meeting and project goals	1	2	3	4	(5)
Discussion of tasks for the upcoming activities and meetings	1	2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2	3	4	(5)
Assignment of follow-up tasks	1	2	3	4	5

Comment:

Thank you for taking the time to complete this questionnaire. Your comments are important to us and provide us with valuable feedback on the quality of the meeting, which will be taken into account when organising future events.

Project number: 573806-EPP-1-2016-1-RS-EPPKA2-CBHE-JP





Date: 20 March 2017

FIFTH STEERING COMMITTEE MEETING EVALUATION LIST

The general organisation of the meeting


Grading	Poor	OK	Good	Very Good	Excel lent
Logistic preparation and organization of meeting	1	2	3	4	(5)
Content of the Agenda	1	2	3	4	(5)
Arrangements of the meeting (venue, equipment, etc.)	1	2	3	4	(5)

Comment:

General working communication

Grading	Poor	OK	Good	Very Good	Excel lent
Communication before the meeting	1	2	3	4	5
Duration and timetable of the meeting	1	2	3	4	(5)
Quality of materials provided during the meeting	1	2	3	4	(5)
Quality of presentations	1	2	3	(4)	5
Communication between the coordinator of the project and the other partners	1	2	3	4	(5)
Engagement of the participants in the activities and discussions	1	2 100 100 100 100 100 100 100 100 100 10	3	40	5
Objectives in the agenda regarding the NatRisk project are reached	1	2	3	4	(5)






Grading	Poor	OK	Good	Very Good	Excel
Mode of reaching the decisions at the meeting	1	2	3	4	5
Opportunities to express your opinion and influence decisions	1	2	3	4	(5)
Achievement of the meeting and project goals	1	2	3	4	5_
Discussion of tasks for the upcoming activities and meetings	1 24 24	2	3	4	(5)
Assignment of follow-up tasks	1	2	3	4	8

Comment:

Thank you for taking the time to complete this questionnaire. Your comments are important to us and provide us with valuable feedback on the quality of the meeting, which will be taken into account when organising future events.

Project number: 573806-EPP-1-2016-1-RS-EPPKA2-CBHE-JP





Date: 20 March 2017

FIFTH STEERING COMMITTEE MEETING EVALUATION LIST

The general organisation of the meeting


Grading	Poor	OK	Good	Very Good	Excel lent
Logistic preparation and organization of meeting	1	2	3	4	5
Content of the Agenda	1	2	3	4	(5)
Arrangements of the meeting (venue, equipment, etc.)	1	2	3	4	(5)

Comment:

General working communication

Grading	Poor	OK	Good	Very	Excel
			44.4	Good	lent
Communication before the meeting	1	2	3	4	(5)
Duration and timetable of the meeting	1	2	3	4	(5)
Quality of materials provided during the meeting	1	2	3	4	3
Quality of presentations	1	2	3	4	(5)
Communication between the coordinator of the project and the other partners	1	2	3	4	5
Engagement of the participants in the activities and discussions		2	Transaction of the second	4	5
Objectives in the agenda regarding the NatRisk project are reached	1	2	3	4	5





Grading	Poor	OK	Good	Very Good	Excel
Mode of reaching the decisions at the meeting	1	2	3	4	5
Opportunities to express your opinion and influence decisions	1	2	3	4	(5)
Achievement of the meeting and project goals	1	2	3	4"	5
Discussion of tasks for the upcoming activities and meetings	1	2	3	4	(5)
Assignment of follow-up tasks	1	2	3	4	(5)

Comment:

Thank you for taking the time to complete this questionnaire. Your comments are important to us and provide us with valuable feedback on the quality of the meeting, which will be taken into account when organising future events.

Project number: 573806-EPP-1-2016-1-RS-EPPKA2-CBHE-JP





Date: 20 March 2017

FIFTH STEERING COMMITTEE MEETING EVALUATION LIST

The general organisation of the meeting

Grading	Poor	OK	Good	Very	Excel
		1000		Good	lent
Logistic preparation and organization of meeting	1	2	3	4	5
Content of the Agenda	1	2	3	4	(5)
Arrangements of the meeting (venue, equipment, etc.)	1	2	3	4	(5)

Comment:

General working communication

Poor	OK	Good	Very	Excel
			Good	lent
1	2	3	4	(5)
1	2	3	4	(5)
1	2	3	4	(3)
1	2	3	4	5
1	2	3	4	(5)
	2	3	4	(5)
1	2	3	4	[3]
	1 1 1 1	1 2 1 2 1 2 1 2 1 2 1 2	1 2 3 1 2 3 1 2 3 1 2 3 1 2 3 1 2 3	Good 1 2 3 4 1 2 3 4 1 2 3 4 1 2 3 4 1 2 3 4 1 2 3 4 1 2 3 4





Grading	Poor	OK	Good	Very Good	Excel lent
Mode of reaching the decisions at the meeting	1	2	3	4	(5)
Opportunities to express your opinion and influence decisions	1	2	3	4	(5)
Achievement of the meeting and project goals	1	2	3	4	(5)
Discussion of tasks for the upcoming activities and meetings	1	2	3	4	(5)
Assignment of follow-up tasks	1	2	3	4	(5)

Comment:

Thank you for taking the time to complete this questionnaire. Your comments are important to us and provide us with valuable feedback on the quality of the meeting, which will be taken into account when organising future events.


Project number: 573806-EPP-1-2016-1-RS-EPPKA2-CBHE-JP

"This project has been funded with support from the European Commission. This publication reflects the views only of the author, and the Commission cannot be held responsible for any use which may be made of the information contained therein"

UMI/Conf-001

User, J P-13/2P22





Date: 20 March 2017

FIFTH STEERING COMMITTEE MEETING EVALUATION LIST

The general organisation of the meeting

Grading	Poor	OK	Good	Very Good	Excel lent
Logistic preparation and organization of meeting	1	2	3	4	(5)
Content of the Agenda	1	2	3	4	(5)
Arrangements of the meeting (venue, equipment, etc.)	1	2	3	4	5

Comment:

General working communication

9					
Grading	Poor	OK	Good	Very Good	Excel lent
Communication before the meeting	1	2	3	4	(5)
Duration and timetable of the meeting	1	2	3	4	(5)
Quality of materials provided during the meeting	1	2	3	4	<u>(5)</u>
Quality of presentations	1	2	3	4	(5)
Communication between the coordinator of the project and the other partners	1	2	3	4	5
Engagement of the participants in the activities and discussions	1	2	3	4	(5)
Objectives in the agenda regarding the NatRisk project are reached	1	2	3	4	(5)





Grading	Poor	OK	Good	Very Good	Excel lent
Mode of reaching the decisions at the meeting	1	2	3	4	(5)
Opportunities to express your opinion and influence decisions	1	2	3	4	(5)
Achievement of the meeting and project goals	1	2	3	4	(5)
Discussion of tasks for the upcoming activities and meetings	1	2	3	4	(5)
Assignment of follow-up tasks	1	2	3	4	(5)

Comment:

Thank you for taking the time to complete this questionnaire. Your comments are important to us and provide us with valuable feedback on the quality of the meeting, which will be taken into account when organising future events.

Project number: 573806-EPP-1-2016-1-RS-EPPKA2-CBHE-JP





Date: 20 March 2017

FIFTH STEERING COMMITTEE MEETING EVALUATION LIST

The general organisation of the meeting

Grading	Poor	OK	Good	Very Good	Excel lent
Logistic preparation and organization of meeting	1	2	3	4	(5)
Content of the Agenda	1	2	3	4	(5)
Arrangements of the meeting (venue, equipment, etc.)	1	2	3	4	5

Comment:

General working communication

Grading	Poor	OK	Good	Very	Excel lent
Communication before the meeting	1	2	3	4	5
Duration and timetable of the meeting	1	2	3	4	(5)
Quality of materials provided during the meeting	1	2	3	4	(5)
Quality of presentations	1	2	3	4	(5)
Communication between the coordinator of the project and the other partners	1	2	3	4	(5)
Engagement of the participants in the activities and discussions		2	3	4	(5)
Objectives in the agenda regarding the NatRisk project are reached	1	2	3	4	5





Grading	Poor	OK	Good	Very Good	Excel lent
Mode of reaching the decisions at the meeting	1	2	3	4	5
Opportunities to express your opinion and influence decisions	1	2	3	4	5
Achievement of the meeting and project goals	1	2	3	4	(5)
Discussion of tasks for the upcoming activities and meetings	1	2	3	4	5
Assignment of follow-up tasks	1	2	3	4	5

Comment:

Thank you for taking the time to complete this questionnaire. Your comments are important to us and provide us with valuable feedback on the quality of the meeting, which will be taken into account when organising future events.

Project number: 573806-EPP-1-2016-1-RS-EPPKA2-CBHE-JP





Date: 20 March 2017

FIFTH STEERING COMMITTEE MEETING EVALUATION LIST

The general organisation of the meeting

Grading	Poor	OK	Good	Very Good	Excel lent
Logistic preparation and organization of meeting	1	2	3	4	5
Content of the Agenda	1-1-1	2	3	4	(5)
Arrangements of the meeting (venue, equipment, etc.)	1	2	3	4	(5)

Comment:

General working communication

Grading	Poor	OK	Good	Very Good	Excel lent
Communication before the meeting	1	2	3	4	5
Duration and timetable of the meeting	1	2	3	4	(5)
Quality of materials provided during the meeting	1	2	3	4	(5)
Quality of presentations	1	2	3	-4	(5)
Communication between the coordinator of the project and the other partners	1	2	3	4	5
Engagement of the participants in the activities and discussions	1	2	3	4	5)
Objectives in the agenda regarding the NatRisk project are reached	1	2	3	4	(5)






Grading	Poor	OK	Good	Very Good	Excel
Mode of reaching the decisions at the meeting	1	2	3	4	(5)
Opportunities to express your opinion and influence decisions	1	2	3	4	5
Achievement of the meeting and project goals	1	2	3	4	(5)
Discussion of tasks for the upcoming activities and meetings	1	2	33	4	(5)
Assignment of follow-up tasks	1	2	3	4	(5)

Comment:

Thank you for taking the time to complete this questionnaire. Your comments are important to us and provide us with valuable feedback on the quality of the meeting, which will be taken into account when organising future events.

Project number: 573806-EPP-1-2016-1-RS-EPPKA2-CBHE-JP





Date: 20 March 2017

FIFTH STEERING COMMITTEE MEETING EVALUATION LIST

The general organisation of the meeting

Grading	Poor	OK	Good	Very Good	Excel
Logistic preparation and organization of meeting	1	2	3	4	(5)
Content of the Agenda	1	2	3	4	(5)
Arrangements of the meeting (venue, equipment, etc.)	1	2	3	4	(5)

Comment:

General working communication

Grading	Poor	OK	Good	Very Good	Excel lent
Communication before the meeting	1	2	3	4	(5)
Duration and timetable of the meeting	1	2	3	4	(5)
Quality of materials provided during the meeting	1	2	3	4	(5)
Quality of presentations	1	2	3	4	(5)
Communication between the coordinator of the project and the other partners	1	2	3	4	<u></u>
Engagement of the participants in the activities and discussions	1	2	3	4	5
Objectives in the agenda regarding the NatRisk project are reached	1	2	3	4	(5)






Grading	Poor	OK	Good	Very Good	Excel lent
Mode of reaching the decisions at the meeting	1	2	3	4	5
Opportunities to express your opinion and influence decisions	1	2	3	4	5)
Achievement of the meeting and project goals	1	2	3	4	5
Discussion of tasks for the upcoming activities and meetings	1	2	3	4	5
Assignment of follow-up tasks	1	2	3	4	(5)

Comment:

Thank you for taking the time to complete this questionnaire. Your comments are important to us and provide us with valuable feedback on the quality of the meeting, which will be taken into account when organising future events.

Project number: 573806-EPP-1-2016-1-RS-EPPKA2-CBHE-JP





Date: 20 March 2017

FIFTH STEERING COMMITTEE MEETING EVALUATION LIST

The general organisation of the meeting

Grading	Poor	OK	Good	Very Good	Excel lent
Logistic preparation and organization of meeting	1	2	3	4	(5)
Content of the Agenda	1	2	3	4	5
Arrangements of the meeting (venue, equipment, etc.)	1	2	3	4	$\left[\begin{array}{c}5\end{array}\right]$

Comment:

General working communication

Grading	Poor	OK	Good	Very Good	Excel
Communication before the meeting	1	2	3	4	(5)
Duration and timetable of the meeting	1	2	3	4	(5)
Quality of materials provided during the meeting	1	2	3	4	(5)
Quality of presentations	1	2	3	4	(5)
Communication between the coordinator of the project and the other partners	1	2	3	4	5
Engagement of the participants in the activities and discussions	1	2	3	4	5
Objectives in the agenda regarding the NatRisk project are reached	1	2	3	4	5





Grading	Poor	OK	Good	Very Good	Excel lent
Mode of reaching the decisions at the meeting	1	2	3	4	(5)
Opportunities to express your opinion and influence decisions	1	2	3	4	5
Achievement of the meeting and project goals	1	2	3	4	5
Discussion of tasks for the upcoming activities and meetings	1	2	3	4	5
Assignment of follow-up tasks	1	2	3	4	(5)

Comment:

Thank you for taking the time to complete this questionnaire. Your comments are important to us and provide us with valuable feedback on the quality of the meeting, which will be taken into account when organising future events.

Project number: 573806-EPP-1-2016-1-RS-EPPKA2-CBHE-JP





Date: 20 March 2017

FIFTH STEERING COMMITTEE MEETING EVALUATION LIST

The general organisation of the meeting

Grading	Poor	OK	Good	Very Good	Excel lent
Logistic preparation and organization of meeting	1	2	3	4	5
Content of the Agenda	. 1	2	3	4	5
Arrangements of the meeting (venue, equipment, etc.)	1	2	3	4	5

Comment:

General working communication

Grading	Poor	OK	Good	Very Good	Excel lent
Communication before the meeting	1	2	3	4	(5)
Duration and timetable of the meeting	1	2	3	4	(5)
Quality of materials provided during the meeting	1	2	3	4	5
Quality of presentations	1	2	3	4	(5)
Communication between the coordinator of the project and the other partners	1	2	3	4	5
Engagement of the participants in the activities and discussions	1	2	3	4	(5)
Objectives in the agenda regarding the NatRisk project are reached	1	2	3	4	(5)






Grading	Poor	OK	Good	Very Good	Excel lent
Mode of reaching the decisions at the meeting	1	2	3	4	(5)
Opportunities to express your opinion and influence decisions	1	2	3	4	(5)
Achievement of the meeting and project goals	1	2	3	4	(5)
Discussion of tasks for the upcoming activities and meetings	1	2	3	4	(3)
Assignment of follow-up tasks	1	2	3	4	(5)

Comment:

Thank you for taking the time to complete this questionnaire. Your comments are important to us and provide us with valuable feedback on the quality of the meeting, which will be taken into account when organising future events.

Project number: 573806-EPP-1-2016-1-RS-EPPKA2-CBHE-JP





Date: 20 March 2017

FIFTH STEERING COMMITTEE MEETING EVALUATION LIST

The general organisation of the meeting

Grading	Poor	OK	Good	Very	Excel
				Good	lent
Logistic preparation and organization of meeting	1	2	3	4	(5)
Content of the Agenda	1	2	3	4	(0)
Arrangements of the meeting (venue, equipment, etc.)	1	2	3	4	6

Comment:

General working communication

Grading	Poor	OK	Good	Very Good	Excel lent
Communication before the meeting	1	2	3	4	A)
Duration and timetable of the meeting	1	2	3	4	5)
Quality of materials provided during the meeting	1	2	3	4	(5)
Quality of presentations	1	2	3	4	5
Communication between the coordinator of the project and the other partners	1	2	3	4	(5)
Engagement of the participants in the activities and discussions	1	2	3	4)	5 m
Objectives in the agenda regarding the NatRisk project are reached	1	2	3	4	5






Grading	Poor	OK	Good	Very Good	Excel lent
Mode of reaching the decisions at the meeting	1	2	3	4	8
Opportunities to express your opinion and influence decisions	1	2	3	4	6
Achievement of the meeting and project goals	1	2	3	4	10
Discussion of tasks for the upcoming activities and meetings	1	2	3	4	6
Assignment of follow-up tasks	1	2	3	4	3

Comment:

Thank you for taking the time to complete this questionnaire. Your comments are important to us and provide us with valuable feedback on the quality of the meeting, which will be taken into account when organising future events.

Project number: 573806-EPP-1-2016-1-RS-EPPKA2-CBHE-JP





Date: 20 March 2017

FIFTH STEERING COMMITTEE MEETING EVALUATION LIST

The general organisation of the meeting

Grading	Poor	OK	Good	Very Good	Excel lent
Logistic preparation and organization of meeting	1	2	3	4	(5)
Content of the Agenda	1	2	3	4	5
Arrangements of the meeting (venue, equipment, etc.)	1	2	3	4	5

Comment:

General working communication

Grading	Poor	OK	Good	Very	Excel lent
Communication before the meeting	1	2	3	4	5
Duration and timetable of the meeting	1	2	3	4	(5)
Quality of materials provided during the meeting	1	2	3	4	(5)
Quality of presentations	1	2	3	4	(5)
Communication between the coordinator of the project and the other partners	1	2	3	4	5
Engagement of the participants in the activities and discussions	1	2	3	4	5
Objectives in the agenda regarding the NatRisk project are reached	1	2	3	4	(5)





Grading	Poor	OK	Good	Very Good	Excel lent
Mode of reaching the decisions at the meeting	1	2	3	4	(5)
Opportunities to express your opinion and influence decisions	1	2	3	4	(5)
Achievement of the meeting and project goals	1	2	3	4	5
Discussion of tasks for the upcoming activities and meetings	1	2	3	4	(5)
Assignment of follow-up tasks	1	2	3	4	5

Comment:

Thank you for taking the time to complete this questionnaire. Your comments are important to us and provide us with valuable feedback on the quality of the meeting, which will be taken into account when organising future events.

Project number: 573806-EPP-1-2016-1-RS-EPPKA2-CBHE-JP